# A novel hybrid method for equilibrium problems and fixed point problems

Dang Van Hieu[a]

Department of Mathematics, Vietnam National University, Vietnam
334 Nguyen Trai Street, Thanh Xuan, Hanoi, Vietnam.

arXiv:1510.08441v1 [math.OC] 28 Oct 2015

**Abstract.** The paper proposes a novel hybrid method for solving equilibrium problems and fixed point problems. By constructing specially cutting-halfspaces, in this algorithm, only an optimization program is solved at each iteration without the extra-steps as in some previously known methods. The strongly convergence theorem is established and some numerical examples are presented to illustrate its convergence.

**Keywords:** Hybrid method; Extragradient method; Equilibrium problem; Nonexpansive mapping
**AMS Classification:** 65K10 . 65K15 . 90C33

## 1. Introduction

Let $H$ be a real Hilbert space and $C$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of $H$. Let $f : C \times C \to \Re$ be a bifunction. The equilibrium problem (EP) for the bifunction $f$ on $C$ is to find $x^* \in C$ such that

$$f(x^*, y) \geq 0, \ \forall y \in C. \tag{1}$$

The solution set of EP for $f$ on $C$ is denoted by $EP(f, C)$. EP is also well-known as Ky Fan inequality [10]. It is very general in the sense that it includes many mathematical models as: variational inequalities, optimization problems, fixed point problems, Nash equilirium point problems, complementarity problems, operator equations, see, for instance [5, 6, 8, 19]. A special case for the bifunction $f(x, y) = \langle A(x), y - x\rangle$, where $A : C \to H$ is a operator, then EP becomes the variational inequality problem: find $x^* \in C$ such that

$$\langle A(x^*), y - x^*\rangle \geq 0, \ \forall y \in C. \tag{2}$$

One of the most popular methods for solving EPs is the proximal point method (PPM) in which solution approximations are based on the resolvent [6] of equilibrium bifunctions [4, 6, 9, 14, 16, 23, 30, 33]. PPM was first introduced by Martinet [22] for variational inequalities, and then it was extended for finding zero points of maximal monotone operators by Rockafellar [28]. This method was further extended to Ky Fan inequalities by Konnov [16] for monotone or weakly monotone bifunctions.

In recent years, the Korpelevich's extragradient method [17] for variational inequalities has been extended and widely studied to EPs, see, for instance [1, 2, 3, 9, 15, 24, 26, 30, 31]. In 2008, Quoc et al. [26] introduced the following algorithm for EPs in Euclidean space

$$\begin{cases} y_n = \underset{y\in C}{\operatorname{argmin}}\{\lambda f(x_n, y) + \frac{1}{2}||x_n - y||^2\}, \\ x_{n+1} = \underset{y\in C}{\operatorname{argmin}}\{\lambda f(y_n, y) + \frac{1}{2}||x_n - y||^2\}. \end{cases} \tag{3}$$

Then, the algorithm was further extended to Hilbert spaces [1, 2, 3, 15, 24, 30, 31]. In 2013, for finding a common element of the solution set $EP(f, C)$ and the fixed point set $F(S)$ of a nonexpansive mapping

[a]Corresponding Author. Email: dv.hieu83@gmail.com.

$S : C \to C$, Anh [3] introduced the following hybrid algorithm in Hilbert spaces

$$\begin{cases} y_n = \underset{y\in C}{\operatorname{argmin}}\{\lambda f(x_n, y) + \frac{1}{2}||x_n - y||^2\}, \\ z_n = \underset{y\in C}{\operatorname{argmin}}\{\lambda f(y_n, y) + \frac{1}{2}||x_n - y||^2\}, \\ t_n = \alpha_n x_n + (1-\alpha_n)S(w_n), \\ C_n = \{z \in C : ||t_n - z|| \le ||x_n - z||\}, \\ Q_n = \{z \in C : \langle x_0 - x_n, z - x_n\rangle \le 0\}, \\ x_{n+1} = P_{C_n\cap Q_n}(x_0). \end{cases} \tag{4}$$

And the author proved the sequence $\{x_n\}$ generated by (4) converges strongly to $P_{EP(f,C)\cap F(S)}(x_0)$ under the hypothesises of the pseudomonotonicity and Lipschitz-type continuity of bifunction $f$. In the special case, EP (1) is VIP (2) then (4) becomes the following hybrid algorithm

$$\begin{cases} y_n = P_C(x_n - \lambda A(x_n)), \\ z_n = P_C(x_n - \lambda A(y_n)), \\ t_n = \alpha_n x_n + (1-\alpha_n)S(w_n), \\ C_n = \{z \in C : ||t_n - z|| \le ||x_n - z||\}, \\ Q_n = \{z \in C : \langle x_0 - x_n, z - x_n\rangle \le 0\}, \\ x_{n+1} = P_{C_n\cap Q_n}(x_0), \end{cases} \tag{5}$$

which was proposed by Nadezhkina and Takahashi in 2006 for variational inequalities and nonexpansive mappings [25]. Some modifications of (5) were known as the subgradient extragradient algorithm [7] in which the second projection onto $C$ was replaced by one onto a halfspace in Hilbert spaces and the self-adaptive subgradient extragradient algorithm [11] in Euclidean spaces.

In the algorithms (3), (4) and others [1, 2, 3, 15, 24, 30, 31], we see that two optimization programs onto the feasible set $C$ must be solved at each iteration. This seems to be costly and might seriously affect the efficiency of used methods if the structure of the constrained set $C$ is complex. To avoid solving the second optimization program in (4) and the condition of Lipschitz-type continuity posed on the bifunction $f$, Strodiot et al. [31] replaced it by the Armijo linesearch technique.

$$\begin{cases} y_n = \underset{y\in C}{\operatorname{argmin}}\{\lambda f(x_n, y) + \frac{1}{2}||x_n - y||^2\}, \\ \text{Find m the smallest nonnegative integer such that} \\ \begin{cases} z_{n,m} = (1-\gamma^m)x_n + \gamma^m y_n, \\ f(z_{n,m}, x_n) - f(z_{n,m}, y_n) \ge \frac{\alpha}{2\lambda_n}||x_n - y_n||^2, \end{cases} \\ w_n = P_C(x_n - \sigma_n g_n), \\ t_n = \alpha_n x_n + (1-\alpha_n)\left(\beta_n w_n + (1-\beta_n)S(w_n)\right), \\ C_{n+1} = \{z \in C_n : ||t_n - z|| \le ||x_n - z||\}, \\ x_{n+1} = P_{C_{n+1}}(x_0), \end{cases} \tag{6}$$

where $g_n \in \partial_2 f(z_{n,m}, x_n)$, $\sigma_n = f(z_{n,m}, x_n)/||g_n||^2$ if $x_n \ne y_n$ and $\sigma_n = 0$ otherwise. However, we still have to solve an optimization program, find an optimization direction, and compute a projection onto $C$ at each iteration. Moreover, the sets $C_{n+1}$ is not easy to compute.

In this paper, motivated and inspired by the results in [3, 20, 31, 24], we introduce the following hybrid algorithm for finding a solution of an EP for a monotone and Lipschitz-type continuous bifunction $f$ which is also a fixed point of a nonexpansive mapping $S : C \to C$.

**Algorithm 1** (The hybrid algorithm without the extra-steps)**.**

$$\begin{cases} y_{n+1} = \underset{y\in C}{\operatorname{argmin}}\{\lambda f(y_n, y) + \frac{1}{2}||x_n - y||^2\}, \\ z_{n+1} = \alpha_n y_{n+1} + (1-\alpha_n)Sy_{n+1}, \\ x_{n+1} = P_{\Omega_n}(x_0), \end{cases}$$

where $\Omega_n = C_n \cap Q_n$ and $C_n$, $Q_n$ are two specially constructed half-spaces (see Algorithm 1 in Section 3 below). In this algorithm we do not use the PPM and the resolvent of equilibrium bifunction [6, 16]. Contrary to the extended extragradient methods and the Armijo linesearch methods [1, 2, 3, 9, 15, 24, 26, 31, 30], in our proposed algorithm, only an optimization program is solved at each iterative step without the extra-steps. Note that $C_n$ and $Q_n$ in the processes (4), (5) and (6) are constructed on the constrained set $C$ while $\Omega_n$ in Algorithm 1 is the intersection of two halfspaces, so $x_{n+1}$ can be expressed by an explicit formula, see, for instance [6, 29].

The remainders of the paper is organized as follows: Section 2 reviews several definitions and results for further use. Section 3 deals with analyzing the convergence of the proposed algorithm. Finally, in Section 4 we give two numerical examples to illustrate the convergence of the algorithm.

## 2. Preliminaries

In this section, we recall some definitions and preliminary results used in this paper. A mapping $S : C \to H$ is said to be nonexpansive if $||S(x) - S(y)|| \leq ||x - y||$ for all $x, y \in C$. The set of fixed points of $S$ is denoted by $F(S)$. We have the following properties of a nonexpansive mapping, see [13] for more details.

**Lemma 1.** *Assume that $S : C \to H$ is a nonexpansive mapping. If $S$ has a fixed point, then*

i. *$F(S)$ is closed convex subset of $C$.*
ii. *$I - S$ is demiclosed, i.e., whenever $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in $C$ weakly converging to some $x \in C$ and the sequence $\{(I - S)x_n\}$ strongly converges to some $y$ , it follows that $(I - S)x = y$.*

Next, we present some concepts of the monotonicity of a bifunction and an operator (see, for instance [5, 9, 19]).

**Definition 1.** *A bifunction $f : C \times C \to \Re$ is said to be*

i. *strongly monotone on $C$ if there exists a constant $\gamma > 0$ such that*
$$f(x, y) + f(y, x) \leq -\gamma||x - y||^2, \ \forall x, y \in C;$$
ii. *monotone on $C$ if*
$$f(x, y) + f(y, x) \leq 0, \ \forall x, y \in C;$$
iii. *pseudomonotone on $C$ if*
$$f(x, y) \geq 0 \Longrightarrow f(y, x) \leq 0, \ \forall x, y \in C;$$
iv. *Lipschitz-type continuous on $C$ if there exist two positive constants $c_1, c_2$ such that*
$$f(x, y) + f(y, z) \geq f(x, z) - c_1||x - y||^2 - c_2||y - z||^2, \ \forall x, y, z \in C.$$

From the definitions above, it is clear that i. $\Longrightarrow$ ii. $\Longrightarrow$ iii.

**Definition 2.** *An operator $A : C \to H$ is said to be*

i. *monotone on $C$ if $\langle A(x) - A(y), x - y\rangle \geq 0$, for all $x, y \in C$;*
ii. *pseudomonotone on $C$ if*
$$\langle A(x) - A(y), x - y\rangle \geq 0 \Longrightarrow \langle A(y) - A(x), y - x\rangle \leq 0$$
*for all $x, y \in C$;*
iii. *$\alpha$ - inverse strongly monotone on $C$ if there exists a positive constant $\alpha$ such that*
$$\langle A(x) - A(y), x - y\rangle \geq \alpha||A(x) - A(y)||^2, \quad \forall x, y \in C;$$
iv. *$L$ - Lipschitz continuous on $C$ if there exists a positive constant $L$ such that $||A(x) - A(y)|| \leq L||x - y||$ for all $x, y \in C$.*

For solving EP (1), we assume that the bifunction $f$ satisfies the following conditions:

(A1). $f$ is monotone on $C$ and $f(x, x) = 0$ for all $x \in C$;
(A2). $f$ is Lipschitz-type continuous on $C$;
(A3). $f$ is weakly continuous on $C \times C$;
(A4). $f(x, .)$ is convex and subdifferentiable on $C$ for every fixed $x \in C$.

It is easy to show that under the assumptions (A1), (A3), (A4), the solution set $EP(f, C)$ of EP (1) is closed and convex (see, for instance [26]). Therefore, from Lemma 1, the solution set $EP(f, C) \cap F(S)$ is closed and convex. In this paper, we assume that $EP(f, C) \cap F(S)$ is nonempty.

The metric projection $P_C : H \to C$ is defined by $P_C x = \arg\min\{\|y - x\| : y \in C\}$. Since $C$ is nonempty, closed and convex, $P_C x$ exists and is unique. It is also known that $P_C$ has the following characteristic properties, see [12] for more details.

**Lemma 2.** *Let $P_C : H \to C$ be the metric projection from $H$ onto $C$. Then*

i. *For all $x \in C, y \in H$,*
$$\|x - P_C y\|^2 + \|P_C y - y\|^2 \leq \|x - y\|^2. \tag{7}$$

ii. $z = P_C x$ *if and only if*

$$\langle x - z, z - y\rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in C. \tag{8}$$

The subdifferential of a function $g : C \to \Re$ at $x$ is defined by

$$\partial g(x) = \{w \in H : g(y) - g(x) \geq \langle w, y - x\rangle, \ \forall y \in C\}.$$

We recall that the normal cone of $C$ at $x \in C$ is defined by

$$N_C(x) = \{w \in H : \langle w, y - x\rangle \leq 0, \ \forall y \in C\}.$$

**Definition 3** (Weakly lower semicontinuity)**.** *A function $\varphi : H \to \Re$ is called weakly lower semicontinuous at $x \in H$ if for any sequence $\{x_n\}$ in $H$ converges weakly to $x$ then*

$$\varphi(x) \leq \lim\inf_{n\to\infty} \varphi(x_n).$$

It is well-known that the functional $\varphi(x) := ||x||^2$ is convex and weakly lower semicontinuous. Any Hilbert space has the Kadec-Klee property (see, for instance [13]), i.e., if $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in $H$ such that $x_n \rightharpoonup x$ and $||x_n|| \to ||x||$ then $x_n \to x$ as $n \to \infty$.

We need the following lemmas for analyzing the convergence of Algorithm 1.

**Lemma 3.** *Let $C$ be a convex subset of a real Hilbert space $H$ and $g : C \to \Re$ be a convex and subdifferentiable function on $C$. Then, $x^*$ is a solution to the following convex optimization problem*

$$\min\{g(x) : x \in C\}$$

*if and only if $0 \in \partial g(x^*) + N_C(x^*)$, where $\partial g(.)$ denotes the subdifferential of $g$ and $N_C(x^*)$ is the normal cone of $C$ at $x^*$.*

***Proof.*** This is an infinite version of Theorem 27.4 in [27] and is similarly proved. □

**Lemma 4.** [20] *Let $\{M_n\}$, $\{N_n\}$, $\{P_n\}$ be nonnegative real sequences, $\alpha, \beta \in \Re$ and for all $n \geq 0$ the following inequality holds*

$$M_n \leq N_n + \beta P_n - \alpha P_{n+1}.$$

*If $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} N_n < +\infty$ and $\alpha > \beta \geq 0$ then $\lim_{n\to\infty} M_n = 0$.*

## 3. Convergence analysis

In this section, we rewrite our algorithm for more details and analyze its convergence.

**Algorithm 1.** ***Initialization.*** *Chose $x_0 = x_1 \in H$, $y_0 = y_1 \in C$ and set $C_0 = Q_0 = H$. The parameters $\lambda, k$ and $\{\alpha_n\}$ satisfy the following conditions*

a. $0 < \lambda < \frac{1}{2(c_1+c_2)}$, $k > \frac{1}{1-2\lambda(c_1+c_2)}$.

b. $\alpha_n \in [0, a]$ *for some* $a \in (0, 1)$.

***Step 1.*** *Solve a strongly convex optimization program*

$$\begin{cases} y_{n+1} = \underset{y\in C}{\operatorname{argmin}}\{\lambda f(y_n, y) + \frac{1}{2}||x_n - y||^2\}, \\ z_{n+1} = \alpha_n y_{n+1} + (1 - \alpha_n) S y_{n+1}. \end{cases}$$

***Step 2.*** *Compute $x_{n+1} = P_{\Omega_n}(x_0)$, where $\Omega_n = C_n \cap Q_n$,*

$$C_n = \left\{z \in H : ||w_{n+1} - z||^2 \leq ||x_n - z||^2 + \epsilon_n\right\},$$
$$Q_n = \{z \in H : \langle x_0 - x_n, z - x_n\rangle \leq 0\},$$

$\epsilon_n = k||x_n - x_{n-1}||^2 + 2\lambda c_2||y_n - y_{n-1}||^2 - (1 - \frac{1}{k} - 2\lambda c_1)||y_{n+1} - y_n||^2$ *and* $w_{n+1} = \underset{t=y_{n+1}, z_{n+1}}{\arg\max} \{||t - x_n||\}$.

*Set $n := n + 1$ and go back **Step 1.***

**Remark 1.** *In fact, $w_{n+1}$ in Algorithm 1 equals to either $y_{n+1}$ or $z_{n+1}$, i.e., $w_{n+1} = y_{n+1}$ if $||y_{n+1} - x_n|| \geq ||z_{n+1} - x_n||$ and $w_{n+1} = z_{n+1}$ otherwise.*

**Lemma 5.** *Let $\{x_n\}, \{y_n\}$ be the sequences generated by Algorithm 1.Then, there holds the following relation for all $n \geq 0$*

$$\langle y_{n+1} - x_n, y - y_{n+1}\rangle \geq \lambda\left(f(y_n, y_{n+1}) - f(y_n, y)\right), \ \forall y \in C.$$

***Proof.*** Lemma 3 and the definition of $y_{n+1}$ imply that

$$0 \in \partial_2 \left( \lambda f(y_n, y) + \frac{1}{2}||x_n - y||^2 \right)(y_{n+1}) + N_C(y_{n+1}).$$

Hence, there exist $w \in \partial_2 f(y_n, y_{n+1}) := \partial f(y_n, .)(y_{n+1})$ and $\bar{w} \in N_C(y_{n+1})$ such that $\lambda w + y_{n+1} - x_n + \bar{w} = 0$. Thus, for all $y \in C$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle y_{n+1} - x_n, y - y_{n+1} \rangle &= \lambda \langle w, y_{n+1} - y \rangle + \langle \bar{w}, y_{n+1} - y \rangle \\ &\geq \lambda \langle w, y_{n+1} - y \rangle \end{aligned}$$

because of the definition of $N_C$. By $w \in \partial_2 f(y_n, y_{n+1})$,

$$f(y_n, y) - f(y_n, y_{n+1}) \geq \langle w, y - y_{n+1} \rangle, \ \forall y \in C.$$

From the last two inequalities, we obtain the desired conclusion. Lemma 5 is proved. □

The following lemma plays an important role in proving the convergence of Algorithm 1.

**Lemma 6.** *Assume that $x^* \in EP(f, C) \cap F(S)$. Let $\{x_n\}, \{w_n\}$ be the sequences generated by Algorithm 1. Then, there holds the following relation for all $n \geq 0$*

$$||w_{n+1} - x^*||^2 \leq ||x_n - x^*||^2 + \epsilon_n.$$

***Proof.*** From $x^* \in F(S)$, the definition of $z_{n+1}$, the convexity of $||.||^2$ and the nonexpansiveness of $S$,

$$\begin{aligned} ||z_{n+1} - x^*||^2 &= ||\alpha_n(y_{n+1} - x^*) + (1 - \alpha_n)(Sy_{n+1} - x^*)||^2 \\ &\leq \alpha_n||y_{n+1} - x^*||^2 + (1 - \alpha_n)||Sy_{n+1} - Sx^*||^2 \\ &\leq \alpha_n||y_{n+1} - x^*||^2 + (1 - \alpha_n)||y_{n+1} - x^*||^2 \\ &= ||y_{n+1} - x^*||^2. \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

From Lemma 5, by replacing $n + 1$ by $n$, we have

$$\langle y_n - x_{n-1}, y - y_n \rangle \geq \lambda \left( f(y_{n-1}, y_n) - f(y_{n-1}, y) \right), \ \forall y \in C. \tag{10}$$

Substituting $y = y_{n+1}$ onto (10) and a straightforward computation yield

$$\lambda \left( f(y_{n-1}, y_{n+1}) - f(y_{n-1}, y_n) \right) \geq \langle y_n - x_{n-1}, y_n - y_{n+1} \rangle. \tag{11}$$

Lemma 5 with $y = x^*$ leads to

$$\langle y_{n+1} - x_n, x^* - y_{n+1} \rangle \geq \lambda \left( f(y_n, y_{n+1}) - f(y_n, x^*) \right). \tag{12}$$

Since $x^* \in EP(f, C)$ and $y_n \in C$, $f(x^*, y_n) \geq 0$. Thus, from the monotonicity of $f$ one has $f(y_n, x^*) \leq 0$. This together with (12) implies that

$$\langle y_{n+1} - x_n, x^* - y_{n+1} \rangle \geq \lambda f(y_n, y_{n+1}). \tag{13}$$

By the Lipschitz-type continuity of $f$,

$$f(y_{n-1}, y_n) + f(y_n, y_{n+1}) \geq f(y_{n-1}, y_{n+1}) - c_1||y_{n-1} - y_n||^2 - c_2||y_n - y_{n+1}||^2.$$

Thus,

$$f(y_n, y_{n+1}) \geq f(y_{n-1}, y_{n+1}) - f(y_{n-1}, y_n) - c_1||y_{n-1} - y_n||^2 - c_2||y_n - y_{n+1}||^2. \tag{14}$$

The relations (13) and (14) lead to

$$\begin{aligned} \langle y_{n+1} - x_n, x^* - y_{n+1} \rangle \ \geq \ & \lambda \left\{ f(y_{n-1}, y_{n+1}) - f(y_{n-1}, y_n) \right\} \\ & - \lambda c_1||y_{n-1} - y_n||^2 - \lambda c_2||y_n - y_{n+1}||^2. \end{aligned}$$

Combining this and the relation (11), one gets

$$\begin{aligned} \langle y_{n+1} - x_n, x^* - y_{n+1} \rangle \ \geq \ & \langle y_n - x_{n-1}, y_n - y_{n+1} \rangle - \lambda c_1||y_{n-1} - y_n||^2 \\ & - \lambda c_2||y_n - y_{n+1}||^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} 2 \langle y_{n+1} - x_n, x^* - y_{n+1} \rangle \ - \ & 2 \langle y_n - x_{n-1}, y_n - y_{n+1} \rangle \geq -2\lambda c_1||y_{n-1} - y_n||^2 \\ & - 2\lambda c_2||y_n - y_{n+1}||^2. \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

We have the following fact

$$\begin{aligned} & 2\langle y_{n+1}-x_n, x^*-y_{n+1}\rangle = ||x_n-x^*||^2-||y_{n+1}-x^*||^2-||x_n-y_{n+1}||^2 \\ = \;& ||x_n-x^*||^2-||y_{n+1}-x^*||^2-||x_n-x_{n-1}||^2-2\langle x_n-x_{n-1}, x_{n-1}-y_{n+1}\rangle \\ & -||x_{n-1}-y_{n+1}||^2 \\ = \;& ||x_n-x^*||^2-||y_{n+1}-x^*||^2-||x_n-x_{n-1}||^2-2\langle x_n-x_{n-1}, x_{n-1}-y_{n+1}\rangle \\ & -||x_{n-1}-y_n||^2-2\langle x_{n-1}-y_n, y_n-y_{n+1}\rangle - ||y_n-y_{n+1}||^2. \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

By the triangle, Cauchy-Schwarz and Cauchy inequalities,

$$\begin{aligned} - \;\; & 2\langle x_n-x_{n-1}, x_{n-1}-y_{n+1}\rangle \le 2||x_n-x_{n-1}||||x_{n-1}-y_{n+1}|| \\ & \le 2||x_n-x_{n-1}||||x_{n-1}-y_n|| + 2||x_n-x_{n-1}||||y_n-y_{n+1}|| \\ & \le ||x_n-x_{n-1}||^2+||x_{n-1}-y_n||^2+k||x_n-x_{n-1}||^2+\frac{1}{k}||y_n-y_{n+1}||^2. \end{aligned}$$

This together with (16) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} & 2\langle y_{n+1}-x_n, x^*-y_{n+1}\rangle \le ||x_n-x^*||^2-||y_{n+1}-x^*||^2+k||x_n-x_{n-1}||^2 \\ & +2\langle y_n-x_{n-1}, y_n-y_{n+1}\rangle + \left(\frac{1}{k}-1\right)||y_n-y_{n+1}||^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} & 2\langle y_{n+1}-x_n, x^*-y_{n+1}\rangle - 2\langle y_n-x_{n-1}, y_n-y_{n+1}\rangle \le ||x_n-x^*||^2 \\ & -||y_{n+1}-x^*||^2+k||x_n-x_{n-1}||^2+\left(\frac{1}{k}-1\right)||y_n-y_{n+1}||^2. \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

Combining (15) and (17) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} -2\lambda c_1||y_{n-1}-y_n||^2 \;\; - \;\; & 2\lambda c_2||y_n-y_{n+1}||^2 \le ||x_n-x^*||^2-||y_{n+1}-x^*||^2 \\ & + \;\; k||x_n-x_{n-1}||^2+\left(\frac{1}{k}-1\right)||y_n-y_{n+1}||^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, from the definition of $\epsilon_n$,

$$\begin{aligned} ||y_{n+1}-x^*||^2 \;\; \le \;\; & ||x_n-x^*||^2+k||x_n-x_{n-1}||^2 \\ & + \;\; 2\lambda c_1||y_{n-1}-y_n||^2-\left(1-\frac{1}{k}-2\lambda c_2\right)||y_n-y_{n+1}||^2 \\ & = \;\; ||x_n-x^*||^2+\epsilon_n. \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

From (9) and (18), we obtain

$$||z_{n+1}-x^*||^2 \le ||y_{n+1}-x^*||^2 \le ||x_n-x^*||^2+\epsilon_n.$$

Thus, we obtain the desired conclusion because of the definition of $w_{n+1}$. Lemma 6 is proved. □

We have the following main result.

**Theorem 1.** *Let $C$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of a real Hilbert space $H$. Assume that the bifunction $f$ satisfies all conditions* (A1)−(A4) *and $S : C \to C$ is a nonexpansive mapping. In addition the solution set $EP(f,C)\cap F(S)$ is nonempty. Then, the sequences $\{x_n\}$, $\{y_n\}$, $\{z_n\}$ generated by Algorithm* 1 *converge strongly to $P_{EP(f,C)\cap F(S)}(x_0)$.*

***Proof.*** We divide the proof of Theorem 1 into three steps.

**Claim 1.** $EP(f,C)\cap F(S)\subset\Omega_n$ for all $n\ge 0$.

Lemma 6 and the definition of $C_n$ ensure that $EP(f,C)\cap F(S)\subset C_n$ for all $n\ge 0$. We have $EP(f,C)\cap F(S)\subset H=\Omega_0$. Suppose that $EP(f,C)\cap F(S)\subset\Omega_n$ for some $n\ge 0$. From $x_{n+1}=P_{\Omega_n}(x_0)$ and Lemma 2.ii., we see that $\langle z-x_{n+1}, x_0-x_{n+1}\rangle\le 0$ for all $z\in\Omega_n$. Thus, $\langle z-x_{n+1}, x_0-x_{n+1}\rangle\le 0$ for all $z\in EP(f,C)\cap F(S)$. Hence, from the definition of $Q_{n+1}$, $EP(f,C)\cap F(S)\subset Q_{n+1}$ or $EP(f,C)\cap F(S)\subset\Omega_{n+1}$. By the induction, $EP(f,C)\cap F(S)\subset\Omega_n$ for all $n\ge 0$. Since $EP(f,C)\cap F(S)$ is nonempty, so $\Omega_n$ is. Therefore, $P_{\Omega_n}(x_0)$ and $P_{EP(f,C)\cap F(S)}(x_0)$ are well-defined.

**Claim 2.** $\lim_{n\to\infty}||x_{n+1}-x_n|| = \lim_{n\to\infty}||z_n-x_n|| = \lim_{n\to\infty}||y_n-x_n|| = \lim_{n\to\infty}||y_{n+1}-y_n|| = 0$ and

$\lim_{n\to\infty} ||y_n - Sy_n|| = 0$.
Indeed, from the definition of $Q_n$ and Lemma 2.ii., $x_n = P_{Q_n}(x_0)$. Thus, from Lemma 2.i., we have

$$||z - x_n||^2 \leq ||z - x_0||^2 - ||x_n - x_0||^2, \ \forall z \in Q_n. \tag{19}$$

Substituting $z = x^\dagger := P_{EP(f,C)\cap F(S)}(x_0) \in Q_n$ onto (19), one has

$$||x^\dagger - x_0||^2 - ||x_n - x_0||^2 \geq ||x^\dagger - x_n||^2 \geq 0. \tag{20}$$

Thus, the sequence $\{||x_n - x_0||\}$ and $\{x_n\}$ are bounded. The relation (19) with $z = x_{n+1} \in Q_n$ leads to

$$0 \leq ||x_{n+1} - x_n||^2 \leq ||x_{n+1} - x_0||^2 - ||x_n - x_0||^2. \tag{21}$$

This implies that $\{||x_n - x_0||\}$ is non-decreasing. Hence, there exists the limit of $\{||x_n - x_0||\}$. By (21),

$$\sum_{n=1}^{K} ||x_{n+1} - x_n||^2 \leq ||x_{K+1} - x_0||^2 - ||x_1 - x_0||^2, \ \forall K \geq 1.$$

Passing the limit in the last inequality as $K \to \infty$, we obtain

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} ||x_{n+1} - x_n||^2 < +\infty. \tag{22}$$

Thus,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} ||x_{n+1} - x_n|| = 0. \tag{23}$$

From the definition of $C_n$ and $x_{n+1} \in C_n$,

$$||w_{n+1} - x_{n+1}||^2 \leq ||x_n - x_{n+1}||^2 + \epsilon_n. \tag{24}$$

Set $M_n = ||w_{n+1} - x_{n+1}||^2$, $N_n = ||x_n - x_{n+1}||^2 + k||x_n - x_{n-1}||^2$, $P_n = ||y_n - y_{n-1}||^2$, $\beta = 2\lambda c_2$, and $\alpha = 1 - \frac{1}{k} - 2\lambda c_1$. From the definition of $\epsilon_n$, $\epsilon_n = k||x_n - x_{n-1}||^2 + \beta P_n - \alpha P_{n+1}$. Thus, from (24),

$$M_n \leq N_n + \beta P_n - \alpha P_{n+1}. \tag{25}$$

From the hypothesises of $\lambda$, $k$ and (22), we see that $\alpha > \beta \geq 0$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} N_n < +\infty$. Lemma 4 and (25) imply that $M_n \to 0$, or $||w_{n+1} - x_{n+1}|| \to 0$. Thus, $||w_{n+1} - x_n|| \to 0$ due to the relation (23) and the triangle inequality. Hence, from the definition of $w_{n+1}$, we obtain

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} ||z_{n+1} - x_n|| = \lim_{n\to\infty} ||y_{n+1} - x_n|| = 0.$$

These together with (23) and the triangle inequality imply that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} ||z_{n+1} - x_{n+1}|| = \lim_{n\to\infty} ||y_{n+1} - x_{n+1}|| = \lim_{n\to\infty} ||z_{n+1} - y_{n+1}|| = 0. \tag{26}$$

By the triangle inequality,

$$||y_{n+1} - y_n|| \leq ||y_{n+1} - x_{n+1}|| + ||x_{n+1} - x_n|| + ||x_n - y_n||.$$

From the last inequality, (23) and (26),

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} ||y_{n+1} - y_n|| = 0. \tag{27}$$

From the definition of $z_{n+1}$ we have

$$||z_{n+1} - y_{n+1}|| = (1 - \alpha_n)||y_{n+1} - Sy_{n+1}|| \geq (1 - a)||y_{n+1} - Sy_{n+1}||.$$

This together with (26) and $1 - a > 0$ implies that $\lim_{n\to\infty} ||y_{n+1} - Sy_{n+1}|| = 0$.
**Claim 3.** $x_n \to x^\dagger = P_{EP(f,C)\cap F(S)}(x_0)$ as $n \to \infty$.
Assume that $p$ is any weak cluster point of $\{x_n\}$. Without loss of generality, we can write $x_n \rightharpoonup p$ as $n \to \infty$. Since $||x_n - y_n|| \to 0$, $y_n \rightharpoonup p$. Now, we show that $p \in EP(f,C) \cap F(S)$. Indeed, from Claim 2 and the demiclosedness of $S$ we obtain $p \in F(S)$. By Lemma 5, we get

$$\lambda\left(f(y_n, y) - f(y_n, y_{n+1})\right) \geq \langle x_n - y_{n+1}, y - y_{n+1}\rangle, \ \forall y \in C. \tag{28}$$

Passing to the limit in (28) as $n \to \infty$ and using Claim 2, the bounedness of $\{y_n\}$ and $\lambda > 0$ we obtain $f(p, y) \geq 0$ for all $y \in C$. Hence, $p \in EP(f,C)$. Thus, $p \in EP(f,C) \cap F(S)$. From the inequality

(20), we get $||x_n - x_0|| \leq ||x^\dagger - x_0||$, where $x^\dagger = P_{EP(f,C)\cap F(S)}(x_0)$. By the weak lower semicontinuity of the norm $||.||$ and $x_n \rightharpoonup p$, we have

$$||p - x_0|| \leq \liminf_{n\to\infty} ||x_n - x_0|| \leq \limsup_{n\to\infty} ||x_n - x_0|| \leq ||x^\dagger - x_0||.$$

By the definition of $x^\dagger$, $p = x^\dagger$ and $\lim_{n\to\infty} ||x_n - x_0|| = ||x^\dagger - x_0||$. Thus, $\lim_{n\to\infty} ||x_n|| = ||x^\dagger||$. By the Kadec-Klee property of the Hilbert space $H$, we have $x_n \to x^\dagger = P_{EP(f,C)\cap F(S)}(x_0)$ as $n \to \infty$. From Claim 2, we also see that $\{y_n\}$ and $\{z_n\}$ converge strongly to $P_{EP(f,C)\cap F(S)}(x_0)$. Theorem 1 is proved. □

**Corollary 1.** *Let $C$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of a real Hilbert space $H$. Assume that the bifunction $f$ satisfies all conditions* (A1) − (A4). *In addition the solution set $EP(f,C)$ is nonempty. Let $\{x_n\}, \{y_n\}$ be two sequences generated by the following manner: $x_0 = x_1 \in H$, $y_0 = y_1 \in C$ and*

$$\begin{cases} y_{n+1} = \underset{y\in C}{\operatorname{argmin}}\{\lambda f(y_n, y) + \frac{1}{2}||x_n - y||^2\}, \\ C_n = \left\{z \in H : ||y_{n+1} - z||^2 \leq ||x_n - z||^2 + \epsilon_n\right\}, \\ Q_n = \left\{z \in H : \langle x_0 - x_n, z - x_n\rangle \leq 0\right\}, \\ x_{n+1} = P_{C_n\cap Q_n}(x_0), \end{cases}$$

*where $\epsilon_n, \lambda, k$ are defined as in Algorithm* 1. *Then, the sequences $\{x_n\}$, $\{y_n\}$ converge strongly to $P_{EP(f,C)}(x_0)$.*

***Proof.*** Set $S = I$ (the identity operator in $H$), from Algorithm 1 we have $z_{n+1} = y_{n+1} = w_{n+1}$. Thus, Corollary 1 is directly followed from Theorem 1. □

**Corollary 2.** *Let $C$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of a real Hilbert space $H$. Assume that $A : C \to H$ is a monotone and $L$-Lipschitz continuous operator and $S : C \to C$ is a nonexpansive mapping such that the solution set $VI(A,C) \cap F(S)$ is nonempty, where $VI(A,C)$ is the solution set of VIP (2). Let $\{x_n\}, \{y_n\}, \{z_n\}$ be the sequences generated by the following manner: $x_0 = x_1 \in H$, $y_0 = y_1 \in C$ and*

$$\begin{cases} y_{n+1} = P_C(x_n - \lambda A(y_n)), \\ z_{n+1} = \alpha_n y_{n+1} + (1 - \alpha_n)Sy_{n+1}, \\ C_n = \left\{z \in H : ||w_{n+1} - z|| \leq ||x_n - z||^2 + \epsilon_n\right\}, \\ Q_n = \left\{z \in H : \langle x_0 - x_n, z - x_n\rangle \leq 0\right\}, \\ x_{n+1} = P_{C_n\cap Q_n}(x_0), \end{cases} \tag{29}$$

*where $w_{n+1}, \epsilon_n, \lambda, k$ are defined as in Algorithm* 1 *with $c_1 = c_2 = L/2$. Then, the sequences $\{x_n\}$, $\{y_n\}, \{z_n\}$ converge strongly to $P_{VI(A,C)\cap F(S)}(x_0)$.*

***Proof.*** Set $f(x,y) = \langle A(x), y - x\rangle$ for all $x, y \in C$. It is clear that $f$ satisfies the conditions (A1), (A3), (A4) automatically. Now, we show that the condition (A2) holds for the bifunction $f$. Indeed, from the $L$ - Lipschitz continuity of $A$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(x,y) &+ f(y,z) - f(x,z) = \langle A(x) - A(y), y - z\rangle \geq -||A(x) - A(y)||||y - z|| \\ &\geq -L||x - y||||y - z|| \geq -\frac{L}{2}||x - y||^2 - \frac{L}{2}||y - z||^2. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that $f$ satisfies the condition (A2) with $c_1 = c_2 = L/2$. According to Algorithm 1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} y_{n+1} &= \underset{y\in C}{\operatorname{argmin}}\{\lambda \langle A(y_n), y - y_n\rangle + \frac{1}{2}||x_n - y||^2\} \\ &= \underset{y\in C}{\operatorname{argmin}}\{\frac{1}{2}||y - (x_n - \lambda A(y_n))||^2 - \frac{\lambda^2}{2}||A(y_n)||^2 - \lambda \langle A(y_n), y_n - x_n\rangle\} \\ &= \underset{y\in C}{\operatorname{argmin}}\{\frac{1}{2}||y - (x_n - \lambda A(y_n))||^2\} \\ &= P_C(x_n - \lambda A(y_n)). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, Corollary 1 is directly followed from Theorem 1. □

**Remark 2.** • *From the proofs of Lemma* 6 *and Theorem* 1, *we see that Theorem* 1, *Corollaries 1 and 2 remain true if the monotonicity is replaced by the pseudomonotonicity.*

- *Corollary 2 can be considered as an improvement of the results in* [7, 25] *in the sense that we only need to find a projection onto the constrained set $C$ at each iteration.*
- *The set $\Omega_n$ in Step 2 of Algorithm 1 can be replaced by $\Omega_n = C_n^1 \cap C_n^2 \cap Q_n$, where $C_n^1, C_n^2$ are two halfspaces defined by*

$$C_n^1 = \left\{z \in H : ||z_{n+1} - z||^2 \leq ||y_{n+1} - z||^2\right\},$$
$$C_n^2 = \left\{z \in H : ||y_{n+1} - z||^2 \leq ||x_n - z||^2 + \epsilon_n\right\}.$$

## 4. Numerical examples

In this section, we consider two numerical examples to illustrate the convergence of Algorithm 1. The bifunction $f : C \times C \to \Re$ which comes from the Nash-Cournot equilibrium model in [26, 30] is defined by

$$f(x, y) = \langle Px + Qy + q, y - x\rangle,$$

where $q \in \Re^n$, $P,\ Q \in \Re^{n\times n}$ are two matrices of order $n$ such that $Q$ is symmetric, positive semidefinite and $Q - P$ is negative semidefinite. By [26, Lemma 6.2], $f$ is monotone and Lipschitz-type continuous with $c_1 = c_2 = \frac{1}{2}||P - Q||$. In two numerical experiments below we chose $\lambda = \frac{1}{5c_1}, k = 6, x_1 = x_0 \in \Re^n$ and $y_0, y_1$ are the zero vector. All convex quadratic optimization programs are solved by the MALAB Optimization Toolbox. The algorithm is performed on a PC Desktop Intel(R) Core(TM) i5-3210M CPU @ 2.50GHz 2.50 GHz, RAM 2.00 GB.

*Example 1.* We consider the feasible set $C$ is defined by

$$C = \left\{x \in \Re^3 : \sum_{i=1}^{3} x_i \geq 1,\ 0 \leq x_i \leq 1,\ i = 1, \ldots, 3\right\}$$

and $S$ is the identity operator $I$. This example is tested with $q = (1; -2; 3)^T$ and

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} 3.1 & 2 & 0 \\ 2 & 3.6 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 3.5 \end{pmatrix},\ Q = \begin{pmatrix} 1.6 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1.6 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1.5 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The iterate $x_{n+1}$ is expressed by the explicit formula in [29]. In this case, the solution set $EP(f, C) \cap F(S) = EP(f, C)$ is not known. Thus, the stopping criterion used in this experiment is $||w_{n+1} - x_n|| \leq TOL = 0.0001$. Table 1 shows the numbers of iterates (Iter.), time for execution of Algorithm 1 in second (CPU in sec.) and approximation solutions $x_n$ to EP for chosing different starting points.

**Table 1.** Results for given starting points in *Example 1.*

| $x_0$ | Iter. | CPU in sec. | $x_n$ |
|---|---|---|---|
| (1; 3; 1) | 377 | 9.18 | (0.0000004; 0.9806232; 0.0194736) |
| (-3; 4; 1) | 220 | 4.96 | (0.0000000; 0.9806290; 0.0194844) |
| (3; -2; 1) | 480 | 15.53 | (0.0000004; 0.9806289; 0.0194885) |

*Example 2.* We consider the constrained set $C$ as a box by

$$C = \left\{x \in \Re^3 : 0 \leq x_i \leq 1,\ i = 1, \ldots, 3\right\}.$$

Two matrices $P, Q$ are defined as in *Example 1*, $q$ is the zero vector. Let $C_i, i = 1, 2, 3$ be three halfspaces such that $C \cap C_1 \cap C_2 \cap C_3 = \emptyset$. For each $x \in \Re^3$, set

$$\Phi(x) := \frac{1}{3}\sum_{i=1}^{3} \min_{z\in C_i} ||x - z||^2 = \frac{1}{3}\sum_{i=1}^{3} d^2(x, C_i),\ \text{and}\ C_\Phi := \arg\min_{x\in C} \Phi(x).$$

Define $S : C \to C$ by

$$S := P_C\left(\frac{1}{3}\sum_{i=1}^{3} P_{C_i}\right).$$

Since the projection is nonexpansive, $S$ is nonexpansive and $F(S) = C_\Phi$ (see, [34, Proposition 4.2] ). In this example, we chose $C_1 = \left\{x \in \Re^3 : 3x_1 + 2x_2 + x_3 \leq -6\right\}$, $C_2 = \left\{x \in \Re^3 : 5x_1 + 4x_2 + 3x_3 \leq -12\right\}$ and $C_3 = \left\{x \in \Re^3 : 2x_1 + x_2 + x_3 \leq -4\right\}$. It is easy to show that $EP(f, C) \cap F(S) = \{0\}$. For

each starting point $x_0$ then the sequence $\{x_n\}$ generated by Algorithm 1 converges strongly to $x^\dagger := P_{EP(f,C)\cap F(S)}(x_0) = 0$. The termination criterion is $||x_n - x^\dagger|| \leq TOL = 0.001$. The results are shown in Table 2 for chosing different starting points and parameters $\alpha_n$.

**Table 2.** Results for different starting points and parameters in *Example 2*.

| $x_0$ | $\alpha_n = \frac{n-1}{2(n+1)}$ | | $\alpha_n = 10^{-n}$ | | $\alpha_n = \frac{1}{\log_{10}(n+1)}$ | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Iter. | CPU in sec. | Iter. | CPU in sec. | Iter. | CPU in sec. |
| (1; 3; 1) | 23 | 1.54 | 26 | 1.75 | 67 | 4.45 |
| (-3; 4; 1) | 47 | 3.07 | 20 | 1.34 | 81 | 5.46 |
| (3; -2; 1) | 29 | 1.96 | 17 | 1.03 | 47 | 2.40 |
| (-2; 3; -1) | 7 | 0.40 | 6 | 0.26 | 18 | 1.18 |

The study of the numerical experiments here is preliminary and it is obvious that EPs and fixed point problems depend on the structure of the constrained set $C$, the bifunction $f$, and the mapping $S$. However, the results in Tables 1 and 2 have illustrated the convergence of our proposed algorithm and we also see that the number of iterative step and time for execution of the algorithm depend on the starting point $x_0$ and the parameter $\alpha_n$.

## References


[1] Anh, P. N.: A hybrid extragradient method extended to fixed point problems and equilibrium problems. Optimization. **62**(2) (2013), 271–283.

[2] Anh, P. N.: Strong convergence theorems for nonexpansive mappings and Ky Fan inequalities. J. Optim. Theory Appl. **154** (2012), 303–320.

[3] Anh, P. N.:A hybrid extragradient method for pseudomonotone equilibrium problems and fixed point problems, Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc. **36** (1) (2013), 107–116.

[4] Anh, P. K., Hieu, D. V.: Parallel hybrid methods for variational inequalities, equilibrium problems and common fixed point problems. Vietnam J. Math. (2015), DOI:10.1007/s10013-015-0129-z.

[5] Blum, E., Oettli, W.: From optimization and variational inequalities to equilibrium problems, Math. Program. **63** (1994), 123-145.

[6] Combettes, P. L., Hirstoaga, S. A.: Equilibrium programming in Hilbert spaces. J. Nonlinear Convex Anal. **6**(1) (2005), 117-136.

[7] Censor, Y., Gibali, A., Reich, S.: Strong convergence of subgradient extragradient methods for the variational inequality problem in Hilbert space, Optim. Methods Softw. **26**(4-5) (2011), 827-845.

[8] Daniele, P., Giannessi, F., Maugeri, A.: Equilibrium problems and variational models, Kluwer, (2003).

[9] Dinh, B.V., Hung, P.G., Muu, L.D.: Bilevel optimization as a regularization approach to pseudomonotone equilibrium problems. Numer. Funct. Anal. Optim. **35** (5) (2014), 539–563.

[10] Fan, K.: A minimax inequality and applications. In: Shisha, O. (ed.) Inequality III, pp. 103-113. Academic Press, New York (1972).

[11] Gibali, A.: A new non-Lipschitzian projection method for solving variational inequalities in Euclidean spaces. Journal of Nonlinear Analysis and Optimization. **6** (1) (2015), 41-51.

[12] Goebel, K., Reich, S.: Uniform Convexity, Hyperbolic Geometry, and Nonexpansive Map-pings. Marcel Dekker, New York (1984).

[13] Goebel, K., Kirk, W.A.: Topics in Metric Fixed Point Theory. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Math., vol. **28** (1990). Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.

[14] Hieu, D. V.: A parallel hybrid method for equilibrium problems, variational inequalities and nonexpansive mappings in Hilbert space. J. Korean Math. Soc. **52** (2015), 373-388.

[15] Hieu, D. V.: The common solutions to pseudomonotone equilibrium problems. Bull. Iranian Math. Soc. (2015) (accepted for publication).

[16] Konnov, I.V.: Combined relaxation methods for variational inequalities. Springer, Berlin (2000).

[17] Korpelevich, G. M.: The extragradient method for finding saddle points and other problems, Ekonomikai Matematicheskie Metody. **12** (1976), 747-756.

[18] Lai, H. C., Lin, L. J.: Moreau-Rockafellar type theorem for convex set functions. J. Math. Anal. Appl. **132**(2) (1988), 558–571.

[19] Muu, L.D., Oettli, W.: Convergence of an adative penalty scheme for finding constrained equilibria. Nonlinear Anal. TMA **18**(12) (1992), 1159-1166.

[20] Malitsky, Yu. V., Semenov, V. V.: A hybrid method without extrapolation step for solving variational inequality problems. J. Glob. Optim. **61** (2015), 193-202.

[21] Mastroeni, G.: On auxiliary principle for equilibrium problems, in:Equilibrium Problems and Variational Models, P. Daniele et al. (eds), Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht. (2003), 289-298.

[22] Martinet, B.: Régularisation d´inéquations variationelles par approximations successives. Rev. Fr. Autom. Inform. Rech. Opér., Anal. Numér. **4** (1970), 154–159.

[23] Mordukhovich, B., Panicucci, B., Pappalardo, M., Passacantando, M.: Hybrid proximal methods for equilibrium problems. Optim. Lett. **6** (2012), 1535-1550.
[24] Nguyen, T. T. V., Strodiot, J. J., Nguyen, V. H.: Hybrid methods for solving simultaneously an equilibrium problem and countably many fixed point problems in a Hilbert space. J. Optim. Theory Appl. (2013) DOI 10.1007/s10957-013-0400-y.
[25] Nadezhkina, N., Takahashi, W.: Strong convergence theorem by a hybrid method for nonexpansive mappings and Lipschitz-continuous monotone mappings. SIAM J. Optim. **16** (2006), 1230-1241.
[26] Quoc, T.D., Muu, L.D., Hien, N.V.: Extragradient algorithms extended to equilibrium problems. Optimization **57** (2008), 749-776.
[27] Rockafellar, R.T.: Convex Analysis. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press, (1970).
[28] Rockafellar, R.T.: Monotone operators and the proximal point algorithm. SIAM J. Control Optim. **14** (1976), 877–898.
[29] Solodov, M. V., Svaiter, B. F.:Forcing strong convergence of proximal point iterations in Hilbert space. Math. Program. **87** (2000), 189-202.
[30] Strodiot, J. J., Nguyen, T. T. V, Nguyen, V. H.: A new class of hybrid extragradient algorithms for solving quasi-equilibrium problems, J. Glob. Optim. **56** (2) (2013), 373-397.
[31] Strodiot, J. J., Nguyen, V. H., Vuong, P. T.: Strong convergence of two hybrid extragradient methods for solving equilibrium and fixed point problems. Vietnam J. Math. **40**(2-3) (2012), 371-389.
[32] Tada, A., Takahashi, W.: Weak and strong convergence theorems for a nonexpansive mapping and an equilibrium problem, J.Optim.TheoryAppl.133 (2007), 359–370.
[33] Takahashi, S., Takahashi, W.: Viscosity approximation methods for equilibrium problems and fixed point in Hilbert space. J. Math. Anal. Appl. **331**(1) (2007), 506-515.
[34] Yamada, I .: The hybrid steepest d escent method for the variational inequality problem over the intersection o f fixed point sets of nonexpansive mappings. I n: Butnariu, D., Censor, Y., Reich, S. ( eds.) Inherently Parallel Algorithms for Feasibility and Optimization and Their Applications, Elsevier, Amsterdam, (2001), 473–504.